Abstract. This paper concerns the development of an entirely new sensor (called Gyroscopic Force Measuring System, or simply called GFMS) for measuring a force vectorially. The principle and the dynamical characteristics of the GFMS for measuring a force vector are analyzed theoretically. Two auxiliary turntables (driven by servomechanisms) are installed around the gyroscope, in which turntables rotate to follow some angles of incidence of a force vector. Some unfavorable errors caused by various factors are analyzed. A compensation method is proposed as a device both for accurate force measurement and disturbance suppression. The feasibility of the proposed GFMS is confirmed by numerical simulations.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Two decades ago, a class of weight measuring device known as Gyroscopic Force Measuring System (simply called GFMS) has emerged in Germany as a highly precise replacement for existing weighing scales for centuries [1]. This GFMS provides precise, direct digital output proportional to single-axis (scalar) force applied. Its operation is unaffected by rotational friction, which is detected and counteracted by the use of a torque motor. The action of GFMS is inherently linear, hysteresis and drift free. Its dependability has been proved over the last two decades at numerous installations [3,4,5]. The GFMS constructed in our work [2] offered a repeatable accuracy up to 1/15,000 for force ranging from 0 to 150 N. The results of this work are directly applicable to measurement of a vectorial force.

The establishment of a force measurement in three-dimensional space will be indispensable for scientific and industrial fields in the near future. This paper explores the possible applicability of the GFMS to the vectorial measurement of a force. This analysis allows us to design the GFMS with suitable parameters to offer a reasonable accuracy. The total performance designed in this study is demonstrated by numerical simulations. Some theoretical errors associated with a new sensor are highlighted.

2 EQUATIONS OF MOTION

2.1 Construction and principles

Fig. 1 shows the GFMS to be considered in this paper. The gyro-rotor, which is mounted in gimbals with its axis vertical, spins at a constant speed and strictly rotate the horizontal axis OY. The vertical gimbal G₁, which supports the rotor, is free to rotate relative to the horizontal gimbal G₂ about OX, while the horizontal gimbal G₂ is free to rotate relative to the inner turntable G₃ about OY. The G₂ supports one end of a pivoted lever vertically. The other end of this lever mounts on the G₁ at one end of the spin-axis of the rotor. The inner turntable G₃ and the outer turntable G₄, which support the gyroscope, are free to rotate relative to the G₂ and the frame about OZ and OX, respectively.

The force to be measured acts vectorially on the center of the lever through a swivel and therefore applies a horizontal component force on one end of the spin-axis of rotor at unknown angles of incidence \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \). The best estimated angles \( \hat{\alpha} \) and \( \hat{\beta} \) could be easily defined as estimates for which
The equations of motion of this system can be described as follows:

where \( O_x \) is the spin-axis of the rotor. Euler’s dynamical equations for the motion of a rigid body about a fixed point lead to the general motion of the GFMS. To consider now the operation of the GFMS as in Fig. 1 with the spin-axis \( O_x \) vertical and to point the output axis \( O_y \) toward the direction of the force \( F \), we suppose that the force vector \( F \) which acts on the force detector can be defined by two rotations required to move them from coincidence with \( O_xYZ \) to their final position, namely \( \alpha \) about \( O_x \) and \( \beta \) about \( O_z \):

The equations of motion of this system can be described as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
A\dot{\theta} + c\theta + H \dot{\psi} &= T_{1x1}, \\
B\dot{\psi} + c\dot{\theta} - H \dot{\phi} &= T_{2y2}, \\
(C + D_1 \cos^2 \psi + D_2 \sin^2 \psi) \phi + \{c_3 + (D_2 - D_1) \sin \psi \cos \psi \} \dot{\phi} &= -T_{1x1} \sin \psi - T_{3x3}, \\
(E + F_1 \cos^2 \phi + F_2 \sin^2 \phi) \dot{\eta} + \{c_3 + (F_2 - F_1) \sin \phi \cos \phi \} \dot{\eta} &= T_{1x1} \sin \phi \cos \psi + T_{2y2} \sin \phi - T_{4x4}
\end{align*}
\] (1)

where \( H_0 \) is the spin angular momentum of \( G_0 \), \( A, B, C, \) and \( E \) are the moments of inertia about \( O_x, O_y, O_z, \) and \( O_x \), \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \) are the moments of inertia including \( G_1 \) and \( G_0 \) about \( O_x, O_y, O_z, \) \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) are the moments of inertia of the \( G_3 \) and \( G_4 \) about \( O_y, O_z, \) \( T_{1x1}, T_{2y2}, T_{3x3}, \) and \( T_{4x4} \) are the torque applied about \( O_x, O_y, O_z, \) \( O_x \), and \( c_1, c_2, c_3 \) and \( c_4 \) are the viscous friction coefficients about \( O_x, O_y, O_z, \) \( O_x \), and \( O_x \), respectively.

The external torque \( T_{1x1} \) about \( O_x \), supplied by the force \( F \) to the gimbal \( G_1 \) can be written as

\[
T_{1x1} = -Fa[\cos \beta \cos \phi \cos(\alpha + \eta) - \sin \beta \sin \phi + \theta \cos \psi \cos \beta \sin(\alpha + \eta) - \theta \sin \eta \{ \cos \beta \sin \phi \cos(\alpha + \eta) + \sin \beta \cos \phi \}]
\] (2)

where \( F \) is the force acted on the force detector, \( 2a \) is the length of a lever arm. In this ideal case which means \( \alpha + \eta = 0 \) and \( \beta + \phi = 0 \), rearrangement of Eq. (2) gives

\[
T_{1x1} = -Fa
\] (3)

That is to say, from Eq. (1-1), we get the following equation, which is the principle of the GMFS,

\[
H \dot{\omega} = -Fa
\] (4)

in which the system maintains it virtually perfect linearity of the precession rate \( \dot{\omega} \) against the applied force \( F \).

The feedback torques exerted on the \( G_2, G_3 \) and \( G_4 \) by the torque motors are given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
T_{2y2} &= k_p \theta + k_i \int_0^t \theta \, dt, \\
T_{3x3} &= K_{p3}(\phi - \phi_r) + K_{d3} \dot{\phi}, \\
T_{4x4} &= K_{p4}(\eta - \eta_r) + K_{d4} \dot{\eta}
\end{align*}
\] (5)

where \( k_p \) and \( k_i \) are the proportional and integral gains of the torque motor installed on the \( G_3, K_{p3} \) and \( K_{d3} \) are the proportional and derivative gains of the torque motor installed on the \( G_2, K_{p4} \) and \( K_{d4} \) are...
the proportional and derivative gains of the torque motor installed on the frame, and \( \gamma_r \) and \( \delta_r \) are the reference inputs for the servomechanisms to estimate \( \dot{\alpha} \) and \( \dot{\beta} \), respectively.

The natural frequencies and the damping coefficients of the gyroscope itself can be easily obtained by:

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_1 &= \sqrt{\frac{k_r}{C}}, \\
\zeta_r &= \frac{k_r}{\sqrt{H_j k_i}} \\
p_2 &= \frac{H_0}{\sqrt{AB}}, \\
\zeta &= \frac{AC_2 + BC_1}{2H_0 \sqrt{AB}}
\end{align*}
\]

The natural frequencies and the damping coefficients of the servomechanisms can be easily found as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
p_{33} &= \sqrt{\frac{K_{p3}}{C}}, \\
\zeta_{33} &= \frac{K_{d3}}{2\sqrt{CK_{p3}}}, \\
p_{34} &= \sqrt{\frac{K_{p4}}{E}}, \\
\zeta_{34} &= \frac{K_{d4}}{2\sqrt{EK_{p4}}}
\end{align*}
\]

Using the above characteristic values it is possible to design the GFMS, as discussed later.

### 2.3 Gyroscopic reaction

In order to design the servomechanisms of the \( G_3 \) and \( G_4 \), we show the steady-state equations of the GFMS, in which PD control action is used. To find steady-state values we put \( \psi = 0 \), \( \dot{\theta} = \dot{\phi} = 0 \), \( \dot{x} = \dot{y} = 0 \) and \( \varphi = \psi = 0 \) into Eqs. (1) through (5), and results are:

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_x &= \omega_x = \frac{a}{H_0} F, \\
\theta_x &= 0, \\
\varphi_x &= \varphi_z = \frac{F a \sin \psi}{K_{p3}} + \frac{T_{2z2} \sin \varphi}{K_{p4}} + \frac{T_{2z1} \cos \varphi}{K_{p4}}
\end{align*}
\]

It should be noted that the third and fourth equations in Eq. (8) indicate additional torques due to the gyroscopic reaction. The second terms of both Eq. (8-3) and (8-4) show the oscillation components with the same frequency as that of the output \( \dot{u} \). On the other hand, the third term of Eq. (8-4) shows the dc-component due to the integral (I) control action. These gyroscopic reaction torques can be assumed as disturbance inputs to the \( G_3 \) and \( G_4 \). Disturbance inputs can be rejected by using a feedback compensation. By increasing the gains of compensator in servomechanisms, the sensitivity to a disturbance can be decreased.

### 2.4 Estimation of \( \hat{\alpha} \) and \( \hat{\beta} \)

The steady state value of the output \( \psi = \omega \) can be written as:

\[
\omega = -\frac{F a}{H_0} \left( \cos \beta \cos \varphi \cos (\alpha + \eta) - \sin \beta \sin \varphi \right)
\]

If any three sets of reference inputs are excited as \( (\eta_1, \varphi_1) \), \( (\eta_2, \varphi_2) \) and \( (\eta_3, \varphi_3) \) sequentially, the outputs \( \omega_1 \), \( \omega_2 \) and \( \omega_3 \) for each of them can be obtained by Eq. (9). The magnitudes of step-changes in the reference inputs are defined by:

\[
\Delta \eta_x = \eta_{x1} - \eta_{x0}, \quad \Delta \varphi_x = \varphi_{x1} - \varphi_{x0}
\]

Initial values for \( \Delta \eta \) and \( \Delta \varphi \) should be chosen to give the best estimates. Consequently, the best estimates \( \hat{\alpha} \) and \( \hat{\beta} \) can be easily obtained.

The steady-state errors in servomechanisms are given by:

\[
\alpha + \epsilon = \dot{\alpha}, \quad \beta + \varphi = \dot{\beta}
\]

where \( \dot{\alpha} \) and \( \dot{\beta} \) indicate errors of estimates \( \hat{\alpha} \) and \( \hat{\beta} \). For practical application, we can see that these errors do not influence the total amount of measuring error (less than \( 10^{-4} \)).
As a result, the components of $F$ in three-dimensional space are given by:

$$
F_x = -F \sin \beta, \quad F_y = F \cos \alpha \cos \beta, \quad F_z = F \sin \alpha \cos \beta.
$$

(12)

Based on this estimation procedure, we must determine the approximate values of the computational time $\Delta t$ and the step-changes in the reference inputs $\Delta \eta$, and $\Delta \varphi$.

3 DESIGN

The design specifications and the gain constants obtained for the GFMS are summarized in Table 1. In the feedback compensation, the criterions used in designing servomechanisms are specified to give a sufficient performance as follows:

- for the measuring error for a forcing input: measuring error $10^{-3}$ within a time 2 [s]
- for the disturbance suppression: magnitude ratio or gain $-15$ [dB]

The size and characteristics of the components of the GFMS are given in Table 2.

**Table 1.** Design specifications and gain constants of GFMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>low freq.</th>
<th>high freq.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>natural freq.</td>
<td>$p_1$</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$p_2$</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>damping coefficients</td>
<td>$\alpha_1$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\alpha_2$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turntable G_3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turntable G_4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>natural freq.</td>
<td>$p_{s3}$</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$p_{s4}$</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>damping coefficients</td>
<td>$\alpha_{s3}$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\alpha_{s4}$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gain const. of feedback loop</td>
<td>$K_{P3}$</td>
<td>36.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$K_{D3}$</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$K_{P4}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$K_{D4}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Constants of GFMS

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>spin angular momentum($H_0$)</td>
<td>0.45 [kgm$^2$/s]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>length of lever system $(2\hat{a})$</td>
<td>$5.5 \times 10^{-2}$ [m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>moments of inertia</td>
<td>$(A)$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-3}$ [kgm$^2$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$(B)$</td>
<td>$9 \times 10^{-3}$ [kgm$^2$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$(C)$</td>
<td>$16 \times 10^{-3}$ [kgm$^2$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$(D)$</td>
<td>$60 \times 10^{-3}$ [kgm$^2$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>viscous friction</td>
<td>coefficients $(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4)$</td>
<td>0.144 [Nms]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 SIMULATIONS

Numerical simulations of responses to a force input were worked out to illustrate the application of the GFMS. The overall structure of the GFMS is shown by the block diagram of Fig. 2. The observed signals $\omega, \eta$ and $\varphi$ of the gyroscope and the turntables are sent to the estimator. The angles $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are calculated with the estimator by itself. For the simulation, the force applied and the angles of incidence $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are set to be 1 [N] and $\alpha = -45^\circ$ and $\beta = -60^\circ$, respectively.

**Figure 2.** Overall structure of three-dimensional GMFS
Simulation results are shown in Fig. 3. The characteristic values of the GFMS are almost the same regardless of gain constants of feedback loop. The lowest diagrams show the measuring errors between the measured value \( F \) and the true value \( F_0 \). It is clear from Fig. 3 that the only precession remains as the mutation is quickly damped out. Fig. 4 shows the responses of measuring errors for longer time (0 to 100 [s]). In Fig. 4, the measuring error goes through a sustained oscillation with a long period. All measuring process can be completed within 10 [s], which includes 8 [s] for to solve estimation of the angles of incidence. The estimation data are stored in a computer every 2 [s] and the procedure can be completed by two trials. Next, we demonstrate the simulated results with the estimator of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) to highlight important characteristics of the GFMS. The estimator can be performed by computing the inputs \( \eta \) and \( \varphi \), and the output \( \omega \) as they change over a computational time \( \Delta t \) and step changes \( \Delta \eta \) and \( \Delta \varphi \) in reference inputs. Fig. 3 shows the responses obtained, where \( \Delta t=2 \) [s], \( \Delta \eta = \Delta \varphi = 20 \) [deg] and \( F=1 \) [N]. These simulated results show that the estimator can be successfully achieved within a time 8 [s].

![Figure 3. Responses of GFMS with PD control action](image)

![Figure 4. Sustained oscillation in error](image)

5 CONCLUSIONS
An entirely new gyroscopic force measuring system (simply called GFMS) acts as a vectorial force sensor, which measures the magnitude and the direction of force applied externally. It is shown that the control structures and the estimator play important roles in determining the performance of the GFMS. In order to illustrate the effectiveness of the GFMS, some numerical measurement is explored, although there still remains a further engineering problem on the design and evaluation of the GFMS. The work reported here is being continued to validate conclusions obtained by experimental results.
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